

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/845,589	04/30/01	MCINTYRE		en e	82466RLO
Г	-			7	EXAMINER	
•			QM12/1009			
	THOMAS H. ! PATENT LEG				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	EASTMAN KO 343 STATE	DAK COMPANY	1		3722 DATE MAILED:	2
				•		10/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	A P	Applicant(s)						
	•	09/845,589		ACINTYRE ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Monica S. Carter		1722						
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the cor	respondence address						
	Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
1) 🖾	Status  1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> .									
2a) □	, ,,	is action is non-fi	nal.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for fo	rmal matters, pros	secution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
•	on of Claims									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
· ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.									
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
• •	on Papers									
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		to be the Essent							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
, —	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
-	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
•	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) <u> </u> 5) <u> </u> 6) <u> </u>		PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalisher (5,524,932) in view of Smith (5,178,573).

Kalisher discloses a method of arranging personalized images to a page and fixing them in a book comprising the steps of obtaining information from an individual, feeding the information into a computer, forming visual images on a medium, printing the images and adhesively fixing them to a page.

Regarding the specific arrangement and/or content of indicia (visual images of different characteristics of the same image, the images being a digital image, the characteristics including different sizes and colors, etc.) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any type of indicia having desired characteristics since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an

Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

individual with a specific type of scrapbook does not alter the functional relationship.

Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate which is required for patentability.

Kalisher discloses the claimed invention except for cutting the images from the medium.

Smith discloses printing a doll and accessory pieces on a planar material, cutting the pieces from the planar material and adhering the pieces to a panel.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kalisher's invention to include the step of cutting the pieces from the medium instead of peeling the pieces fro the medium, as taught by Smith, as an alternative method for removing the images from the medium.

Note: Regarding sending the image over a communication channel to a service provider with instructions to the service provider such as through the Internet (claims 20-22), it is notoriously well-known to receive and send images via communication channels such as the Internet for communicating information between different parties at remote locations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kalisher's invention to include sending the images over a communication channel for disseminating and receiving information.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/845,589

Art Unit: 3722

### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Friend (3,274,706) discloses a book having plastic pages, Engel et al. (4,714,275) disclose a toy sticker collection album, Hefty (5,190,316), (5,454,678) and (5,636,957) disclose a method of making personalized children's storybooks and Boehm (5,947,522) discloses a thematic bound scrapbook.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mc

September 28, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700